

EPH (1946) Department

Re SERIES

G.O. No. *488*

PH 19

Dated

18.2.1946

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical Institutions - Rural - Subsidized - South Kanara
District - Dispensary at Vittal - Midwife - Additional subsidy
from Board's funds - Payment not objected to.

DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Received _____ Registered _____

From (Name) Sri.A.Lakshminarayana Rao, B.A.B.L.,

(Designation) President, District Board, South Kanara,

Post Box _____, (Station) Mangalore.

Telegraphic Address _____ 29 OCT 1945

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education & Public Health Department, Madras.

Ref.C. No.19277/45/A4 dated 14-10-1945. 19

Sir,

Sub: MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS - Rural (Subsidised)
Midwives - subsidy payment from both
Provincial and District Board funds -
orders requested.

=====

(P)

In G.O.No.1924 P.H., dated 7-7-1944 and No. 3083 P.H., dated 6-11-1944 sanction was accorded to the payment of enhanced subsidy to the first and second class midwives employed in rural Dispensaries subsidised by Government at Rs. 360/- per annum and Rs. 240/- per annum respectively. Government have, in their order No.Ms.2494 P.H., dated 26-9-1945, directed that the ~~rates of subsidy paid to midwives employed in non-subsidised rural Dispensaries should be regulated with reference to the rates for midwives employed in Dispensaries subsidised by Government which rates have been enhanced by 20%.~~

2. Mrs. Julian D'souza a 2nd class locally trained midwife employed in the Rural Subsidised, Dispensary at Vittal was drawing subsidy at Rs. 200/- from Provincial funds and Rs. 40/- p.a. from the defunct Taluk Board Puttur until its abolition and thereafter from the funds of this District Board as per G.O.No.Ms.318 P.H., dated 23-2-1930.

3. The payment of the additional subsidy of Rs. 40/- p.a. from District Board funds to the above midwife was continued even after the Provincial subsidy of second class midwives was enhanced to Rs. 240/- per annum from 1-4-1944 as per G.O.No.Ms.3083 P.H., dated 6-11-1944. This additional subsidy has been withheld from September 1945 after receipt of orders in G.O.No.Ms. 2494 P.H., dated 26-9-1945 pending orders of Government.

4. I request orders of Government as to whether the payment of the subsidy at Rs. 40/- per annum from the District Board funds may be continued to the above midwife when she is in receipt of the enhanced subsidy at Rs. 240/- per annum from Provincial funds.


Manohar Rao
24/10

President,
District Board, South Kanara.

HYS/19.10.45.

29/10

49060



To
The Surgeon General.

Endt. No 49060 21/10/45 - 1. P.H.D. 31/10/45 - E + P.H.D. Dept.

Referred to the Surgeon General
for remarks.

W. A. R. O. B. O. R. N.
31/10/45
Deputy Secretary to Government.
A.R.
30.10.45
S.30.10.45

R.No.69167-H.

Office of the Surgeon-General
with the Government of Madras.
Madras., Dated /o December 1945.

From

Major-General J.P.Huban, O.B.E., K.H.S., I.M.S.,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

Sir

Ref:- Government Endorsement No.49060-D1/45-1 P.H.,
dated 31-10-45 regarding Mrs. Julian D'Souza - Mid-
wife - Rural Dispensary, Vittal - Subsidy payment
from both Provincial and District Board funds -
orders.

The midwife may be paid only Rs.240/- per annum and
the payment of additional subsidy of Rs.40/- a year

11 DEC 1945

p.t.o

from the District Board funds may be stopped.

.2. The enclosures received with the Government endorsement is resubmitted.

Your obedient servant

M. A. K. M.

For Surgeon-General with the
Government of Madras.

S.A.5/12.

8/12/45

*Ob
6/12*

32/
91

P1 a/c

are 2 on P1 a/c

5 EY P.A. & S. Dept

Memo No 49060 D1/45-2 P.H. & 4/1/46

Medical Institutions - Rural - Subsidized -
South Kanara Dt. - Dispensary at Vittal.
Midwife - Subsidy.

From the P.D.B., South Kanara, C.N. 19277/45/A4 D14 $\frac{10}{45}$

The P.D.B. South Kanara is requested
to state definitely why the additional
subsidy of Rs 40/- per annum was
paid to ~~the said dispensary~~
C.P.B.

from the funds of the St. Bd. to the
second class midwife employed in the
rural dispensary at Vittala.

Ag.

31.12.48
5.31.12.48

Accepted

To
The P.D.B. ✓
South Kanara. ^{Perpet}
2-11-48

Department	Received	Registered
From (Name) Sri A. Lakshminarayana Rao B.A., B.L.,	To	
Designation President, District Board,	The Secretary to Government, Education & Public Health Department, Madras.	
Station South Kanara, Mangalore.		

Enclosures:

Re. No. 10277/152A4 dated 19-1-1946.

Sir,

Subject:- Medical institution - Rural Subsidised Dispensary, Vittal - Midwife - Payment of additional subsidy from Taluk Board and Dt. Board Funds - reply sent.

====

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 49060-D1-45-1P.H., dated 4-1-46, I have to state that the second class midwife attached to the Rural Dispensary at Vittal was paid the additional subsidy of Rs. 40/- per annum from Dt. Board Funds, as she was being paid the above additional subsidy by the defunct Taluk Board, Puttur as per proceedings R.Dis.5-30, dated 27-2-1930 (copy enclosed) of the President, Taluk Board, Puttur.

2. From the above proceedings of the President, Taluk Board, Puttur, it is seen that the midwife was allowed

P.P. infra.

*- PP 5-6
date.*

this additional subsidy from the funds of the Board in view of the fact that she was drawing a pay of Rs.20/- p.m. prior to 15th April 1929, and as the subsidy payable from Provincial Funds was only Rs.200/- p.a.

M. Hanumanth
23/1

PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD, SOUTH KANARA.

KB/22-1-46.

[Signature]
23/1/46

Proceedings of the President, Puttur Taluk Board.

Read the following papers:-

1. G.O.No.2096-P.H., dated 26-8-29, enhancing the rate of subsidy to Rs.300/- per annum in the case of midwives employed in rural dispensaries from 1-4-1929.

2. G.O.No.37-P.H., dated 6-1-1930, permitting to employ II class midwives in rural dispensaries fixing the subsidies in their case at Rs.200/- per annum.

3. G.O.No.318-P.H., dated 15-2-1930, informing that the II class midwife at Vittal will be entitled for a subsidy of Rs.200/- per annum and any amount paid in excess should be recovered or met from Taluk Board Funds.

O R D E R.

According to the first G.O.read above the midwife attached to the rural dispensary at Vittal was paid a salary at Rs.25/- per mensem from April to August 1929. But in accordance to the recent G.Os. the Government will pay only Rs.200/- per annum towards the pay of the midwife. She was drawing a pay at Rs.20/- per mensem prior to 1st April 1929, which was partly met from Taluk Board Funds. This amount cannot be now increased as the rate of pay fixed by the Board in the case of II class Midwives Rs.20/- per month and she will, therefore, continue on a pay of Rs.20/- per mensem from 1st April 1929, the excess of Rs.40/- per annum being met from Taluk Board Funds. A sum of Rs.19-2-0 has been paid to her in excess from 1st April to August 1929, at the rate of Rs.25/- per mensem. This amount will be recovered from her at Rs.5/- per mensem from her pay for February 1930 onwards.

(TRUE COPY)

KB/22-1.46.

A. K. Sreedhar
23/1
PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD, SOUTH KANARA

70
 18/2/46

Medical Institutions - Rural. Subsidized - South Kanara Dt. -
 Dispensary at - Vittal - Midwife - Additional Subsidy
 from - Boards' funds - Payment - not objected to.

P.P. 147-8. Cf. From the P.D.B., South Kanara, Ref. c. No. 19277/45/Au. 8.14-10-45.
 & 8.19-1-46.

P.P. 3-4 Cf. from the S.G.; P.No. 69167-H. 8.10-12-45,

Order No. 488. P.H.D. 18.2.46.
 Ma.

To
 The P.D.B., ~~South Kanara~~,
 The S.G.,
 The A.G.,
 The Ex. L.F.A.

The P.D.B., South Kanara, is informed -
 That the Govt. have no objection to the Continuance
 of the payment of the additional Subsidy of -
 Rs 40/- per annum from the funds of the Dt. Bd. -
 to the midwife employed in the Rural Dispensary
 at Vittal, even after her subsidy from Provincial Funds
 is enhanced to Rs 240/- per annum under the
 order contained in
 G.O. No 3083 P.H.D. 6/4/46.

12.2.46
 12/2/46

13/2/46

Notes

E + P.H (D1) Dept.

Medical Institutions - Rural - ~~then~~ Subsidized - South Kanara

Midwife in Vittal dispensary - Subsidy.

p.1. cf.

From the P.D.B, South Kanara, b.No. 19271/45/Au S 14 ¹⁰/₄₅

p.p. 3-4. cf.

From the S.G; R.N: 69167-H. S. 10-12-45.

The P.D.B, South Kanara, is informed that
~~beendelges~~ ^{the midwife} Mrs Julian D' Souza
employed in the ~~the~~ subsidized rural
dispensary at Vittal ~~may be paid only a~~
~~is already in receipt of the~~
~~Subsidy of Rs 240/- per annum~~

para 6. on p.1 cf.

(P.T.6)

from Prov. funds. The payment of an additional
Subsidy of Rs 40/- per annum from Boards' funds
should be stopped.

pp3-46
1

The P.D.B., South Kanara
copy to S.G.

Ag.

S. 12. 45
P. 17/12/48.


18/12/48

This requires further exam. Why should the B. cost
paid by the D.B. be stopped now? Why was it allowed
to be continued. (Vide 8 ufg @) Perhaps So 2047 P.H.
d. 6/x/75 may clear up the point. 
18/12/48

(J)

copy at (J)

with ref. to D.S.'s note on p. 2 ante it is noted that the original of G.O. No. 2047 P.H.S. 6.10.25 is not available in the M.R.O. vide copy of slip at (Y).

(Y) A copy of the G.O. is put up at flag (J).

(J)

From the concluding portion of the G.O. it will be seen that the Govt. permitted local bodies to sanction an additional Subsidy from their own funds to L.M. ps. in particular cases if owing to the remoteness or unhealthiness of the locality or for any other special reason a qualified practitioner cannot be secured for the subsidy provided by the Govt. The orders in this G.O. apply only to R.H. ps. & are not meant (P.T.B)

"N"

⊗



D

per a 2. on p. 1. of

Subsidy paid in the present case is less than 50% of Gas Subsidy paid to similar midwives, if payment need not be stopped. However it may just be asseverance from the P.D.B. why exactly the additional Subsidy was being paid. A draft memo is ~~submitted~~ submitted.

S.

31-12-46

CP
17 Feb

pp 5-6 of

[Issued as memo No. 49060-2/45-2. P.H.D. 4-1-46]

pp 7-8 of

From the P.D.B. South Kanara; R.C. No. 39277/45. A.4.819 $\frac{1}{46}$.

Please see the memo at pp. 5-6 of and the P.D.B's reply at pp. 7-8 of. The President is not able to explain ~~for what~~ ^{why} exactly the additional Subsidy of Rs 40 per month

1 P.T-6

from the Board's funds is paid to the midwife.
He has ^{merely} ~~Simply~~ stated the midwife has been paid
the additional Subsidy from the Board's funds para 1. on p. 7. cf.
as she was paid this additional Subsidy from the funds
of the defunct Taluk Board, pottur. But from the
proceedings of the Taluk Board at p. 9. cf. it will
be seen that the rate of Subsidy paid from
the ~~the~~ Taluk Board's funds ^{in 1930} to second class midwives
was Rs 20/- per mensem or Rs 240/- per annum,
while the rate of Subsidy paid from the Prov. funds
to the same class of midwives was Rs 200/- per p. 9. cf.
annum. The difference of Rs 40/- per annum was Ibid.
therefore paid from the Taluk Board's funds. This
practice has been continued by the Dt. Bd. also. p. 7. cf.
Attention is invited in this question to D. S.'s note at p. 2 ante
and the office note at p. p. 3-5 ante. In the circumstances
stated at D on p. 45 ante, the payment of the additional

Subsidy of Rs 40/- per annum to the midwife from
 the Board's funds need not be discontinued though
~~for order it is not quite apparent~~
 from the proceedings of the Taluk
 Board at p 9 c p that the additional
 subsidy was paid for the


Unhealthiness of the locality. For orders.

The addl. amount of Rs 40/- seems to be
 8,94/- personal to the midwife. As the subsidy of Rs 200/- is
 paid for the balance of Rs 1/- by the D Bd (presumably
 as a personal allowance) she has all along been getting
 Rs 200/- (p.m.) & she is a full time midwife & the order
 No. ① will not apply to her. Under the order No. ②,
 the full amount has to be raised to Rs 40/- & so, the
 her cause to which ② applies, this rule may be
 raised to Rs 40/- & she cannot have any right to
 the allowance of the D Bd of Rs 40/- p.m.

Finance manager

stamps

Finance



E.P.H. No. 4960.91-3
 4/2/48

(P. 1 c.f. sets out the facts of the case) in (Expt)

Mrs. Johnan D'Souza, a second class midwife employed in the subsidized rural dispensary at Velhal, S. Kanara Dt., was paid, in addition to the first subsidy of Rs 200 p.a., a subsidy of Rs 40/- p.a. from the funds of the defunct Taluk Board, Pullur until its abolition and thereafter by the S. Kanara Dt.

para 2 p 1 c.f.

Bd. This addl. subsidy was continued even after the first subsidy payable to second class midwives in subsidized rural dispensaries was raised to Rs 240/- per annum. It was however, stopped by the Dt. Bd. with reference to the go. no. 2494 P.H. dated 26.9.45, pending orders of the Govt. The Dt. Board has now raised the question whether payment of the addl. subsidy of Rs 40 to midwife may be continued, now that she is drawing a first subsidy of Rs 240/- per annum.

para 3, p 1 c.f.

or (2)

'K' in p 1 c.f.

or (0)

• para 4, p 1 c.f.

of late increase from Rs. 200 p.a. to Rs. 240 p.a. in - 0. (2).

2. [p. 50]

EPH by. Secretary considers that the
 additional amount of Rs 40 p.a. paid to the wid.
 wife seems to be 'personal' to her and that Govt.
 cannot have any objection to the continuance
 of the payment of the amount from the funds
 of the S. Kanara Dt. Bd., as the orders in G.O. no. 249
 P.H. dated 26.9.45 do not apply to this case.

This Dept. may agree with the view of EPH.

8/2/46

8.2.46

8.2.46
 EPH

AS

Memo 6968GB-1
 8.2.46

EPH @ 13/2/46

Finance have accepted this Dept's proposal at 'A' on p. 7 and
 A draft order is Subd. for approval.

AS.
 12-2-46
 12/2/46

13/2/46